

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_129283
Title of the Manuscript:	STUDY AND DISTRIBUTION OF NEW INVASIVE PEST, RUGOSE SPIRALLING WHITEFLY ALEURODICUS RUGIOPERCULATUS MARTIN IN OIL PALM.
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Particular research objective undoubtedly signifies the field of entomology science. This manuscript really assists to find out the host preference and distribution status of recently introduced invasive pest Rugose spiralling whitefly. The key findings also help to understand the biology of the pest and the variations of life stages abundance in different host plants. That’s why it justifies the interest of scientific community	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Title isn’t quietly justified the content. Here Some suggested titles are followings: “A Comprehensive study about the Host preference and Life Stage Dynamics of Rugose Spiralling Whitefly”. “Variations in Distribution and Host Selection behaviour of Rugose spiralling Whitefly in Tamilnadu District”	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes abstract is justified the manuscript. But it should contain some statistical datas of abundance and damage potential of Rugose Spiralling Whitefly.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References are sufficient to discuss this matter and also follow the correct sequence of writing references.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes the language or the quality of English is very sophisticated and intelligible	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	This manuscript is very well-written and arranged in a scientific way. Authors maintain the graphical attributes along with the tabulation data. But the citations should be in proper way by mentioning the author’s title and year of publishing within the bracket.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with the reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in detail)	
Are there competing interest issues in this manuscript?	No	
If plagiarism is suspected, please provide related proofs or web links.		

PART 3: Declaration of Competing Interest of the Reviewer:

I declare that I have no competing interest as a reviewer

PART 4: Objective Evaluation:

Guideline	MARKS of this manuscript
Give OVERALL MARKS you want to give to this manuscript (Highest: 10 Lowest: 0) Guideline: Accept As It Is: (>9-10) Minor Revision: (>8-9) Major Revision: (>7-8) Serious Major revision: (>5-7) Rejected (with repairable deficiencies and may be reconsidered): (>3-5) Strongly rejected (with irreparable deficiencies.): (>0-3)	8.75

Review Form 3

Editorial Comments (This section is reserved for the comments from journal editorial office and editors):

	Author's Feedback

Reviewer Details:

This section is mandatory to prepare the Reviewer Certificate.
Please complete this section carefully. Reviewer Certificate will be generated by using this information only.
Your Certificate will be wrong, if you provide incorrect information.
Please note modification of certificate will not be possible after generation.
Certificate will not be issued if incomplete information is provided.

Name of the Reviewer	Mr. Swastik Bhattacharjya
Department of Reviewer	Department of Plant Protection
University or Institution of Reviewer	Aligarh Muslim University
Country of Reviewer	India
Position: (Professor/lecturer, etc.) of Reviewer	PG Research Scholar
Email ID of Reviewer	bhattacharjyaswastik@gmail.com
WhatsApp Number of Reviewer (Optional)	8900610376
Write 5-8 Keywords regarding expertise of Reviewer	Agriculture, Crop Production, Crop Protection, Insect Anatomy, Taxonomy, Insect Biology