

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Biochemistry, Genetics and Molecular Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJBGMB_129346
Title of the Manuscript:	IDENTIFICATION AND EVALUATION OF NEUROPROTECTIVE EFFECT OF A BUTANOL EXTRACT FROM CENTELLA ASIATICA (L.) URB
Type of the Article	Original

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>
Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The Manuscript addresses all the aspects of Neurodegenerative disease, its treatment and the potential role of Centella Asiatica. It also links the traditional knowledge of medical plants to modern DNA bar-coding techniques	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title of the article is informative and appropriate	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract of the article is brief	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The manuscript is scientifically correct and sound but but more details of neurite growth assay on C6 cells could be provide for further clarity & depth	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment <i>(if agreed with the reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>

Review Form 3

Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> No	
Are there competing interest issues in this manuscript?	Yes	
If plagiarism is suspected, <u>please provide related proofs or web links.</u>	No	

PART 3: Declaration of Competing Interest of the Reviewer:

Here reviewer should declare his/her competing interest. If nothing to declare he/she can write “I declare that I have no competing interest as a reviewer”

I declare that I have no competing interest as reviewer

PART 4: Objective Evaluation:

Guideline	MARKS of this manuscript
Give OVERALL MARKS you want to give to this manuscript (Highest: 10 Lowest: 0) <u>Guideline:</u> Accept As It Is: (>9-10) Minor Revision: (>8-9) Major Revision: (>7-8) Serious Major revision: (>5-7) Rejected (with repairable deficiencies and may be reconsidered): (>3-5) Strongly rejected (with irreparable deficiencies.): (>0-3)	9

Review Form 3

Editorial Comments (This section is reserved for the comments from journal editorial office and editors):

	Author’s Feedback

Reviewer Details:

This section is mandatory to prepare the Reviewer Certificate.
Please complete this section carefully. Reviewer Certificate will be generated by using this information only.
Your Certificate will be wrong, if you provide incorrect information.
Please note modification of certificate will not be possible after generation.
Certificate will not be issued if incomplete information is provided.

Name of the Reviewer	Dr. Suchitra Kavuri	
Department of Reviewer	Biochemistry	
University or Institution of Reviewer	Alluri Sitarama Raju Academy of Medical Sciences	
Country of Reviewer	India	
Position: (Professor/lecturer, etc.) of Reviewer	Professor	
Email ID of Reviewer	suchikavuri@gmail.com . suchikavuri@yahoo.co.in	
WhatsApp Number of Reviewer (Optional)	9490857145	
Write 5-8 Keywords regarding expertise of Reviewer	Has technical knowledge, done research of oxidative stress parameters in the field of different disorders – Neurodegenerative disorders kike Parkinson’s, Alzheimer’s disease and Diabetic mellitus, Nephrotic Syndrome & assessment of Plasma & Urinary markers in Diabetic Nephropathy.	