

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_129337
Title of the Manuscript:	An Assessment of Indigenous Ethnic Group Perception on Baylon’s Quality Patient Nursing Care Theory in Selected Barangays in Kalinga Province in the Philippines.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This Descriptive study is the best method used for collecting information that will demonstrate relationships and describe the world as it exists. Comparing these two groups is important as they are sharing the same environment but different distances from big cities. Again researches on native people and their thinking about health care is also vital for the us as a civilized population in the world. These types of studies are often done before an experiment to know what specific things to manipulate and include in an experiment.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title “An Assessment of Indigenous Ethnic Group Perception on Baylon’s Quality Patient Nursing Care Theory in Selected Barangays in Kalinga Province in the Philippines” is suitable and is reflecting the content of the manuscript.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract of the article is comprehensive.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The manuscript is scientifically written.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	There are 28 references which are sufficient and they are recent.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language is understandable and is suitable for the scholarly communication.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment <i>(if agreed with the reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>

Review Form 3

Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in detail)</i> No.	
Are there competing interest issues in this manuscript?	There are no competing interest issues in this manuscript.	
If plagiarism is suspected, <u>please provide related proofs or web links.</u>	No.	

PART 3: Declaration of Competing Interest of the Reviewer: I declare that I have no competing interest as a reviewer

Here reviewer should declare his/her competing interest. If nothing to declare he/she can write “I declare that I have no competing interest as a reviewer”

PART 4: Objective Evaluation:

Guideline	MARKS of this manuscript
Give OVERALL MARKS you want to give to this manuscript (Highest: 10 Lowest: 0) <u>Guideline:</u> Accept As It Is: (>9-10) Minor Revision: (>8-9) Major Revision: (>7-8) Serious Major revision: (>5-7) Rejected (with repairable deficiencies and may be reconsidered): (>3-5) Strongly rejected (with irreparable deficiencies.): (>0-3)	10

Review Form 3

Editorial Comments (This section is reserved for the comments from journal editorial office and editors):

	Author's Feedback

Reviewer Details:

This section is mandatory to prepare the Reviewer Certificate.
Please complete this section carefully. Reviewer Certificate will be generated by using this information only.
Your Certificate will be wrong, if you provide incorrect information.
Please note modification of certificate will not be possible after generation.
Certificate will not be issued if incomplete information is provided.

Name of the Reviewer	Dr. Mazin Hajy
Department of Reviewer	General Surgery
University or Institution of Reviewer	Cihan University Erbil and Rizgary Teaching Hospital
Country of Reviewer	Iraq
Position: (Professor/lecturer, etc.) of Reviewer	Lecturer
Email ID of Reviewer	Mazin78a@yahoo.com
WhatsApp Number of Reviewer (Optional)	009647504662570
Write 5-8 Keywords regarding expertise of Reviewer	General Surgery, Cholecystectomy, Hernia, Appendix, Anatomy, Infection, Antibiotic and Physiology