

Review Form 3

|                          |                                                                                                                                                                   |
|--------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Journal Name:            | Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports                                                                                                                    |
| Manuscript Number:       | Ms_AJARR_129337                                                                                                                                                   |
| Title of the Manuscript: | An Assessment of Indigenous Ethnic Group Perception on Baylon’s Quality Patient Nursing Care Theory in Selected Barangays in Kalinga Province in the Philippines. |
| Type of the Article      | Original Research Article                                                                                                                                         |

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

|                                                                                                                                                                         | Reviewer’s comment                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            | Author’s Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b> | This manuscript is significant for the scientific community as it provides valuable insights into the perceptions of indigenous ethnic groups regarding quality patient nursing care in the Kalinga Province of the Philippines. By utilizing Baylon’s Quality Patient Nursing Care Theory, the study highlights critical factors influencing healthcare decisions among these communities, such as accessibility, financial constraints, and the importance of government programs. The findings contribute to a deeper understanding of the unique healthcare challenges faced by indigenous populations, which can inform healthcare policies and practices aimed at improving service delivery. Additionally, the research underscores the need for culturally competent care, making it a relevant addition to the literature on nursing and public health in diverse cultural contexts. |                                                                                                                                                                     |
| <b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>                                                                               | The title of the article, "An Assessment of Indigenous Ethnic Group Perception on Baylon’s Quality Patient Nursing Care Theory in Selected Barangays in Kalinga Province in the Philippines," is generally suitable as it accurately reflects the study's focus on the perceptions of indigenous ethnic groups regarding a specific nursing care theory within a defined geographical context                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 |                                                                                                                                                                     |
| <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>      | The abstract should succinctly summarize the implications of the findings. Consider rephrasing it to emphasize the significance of the results and their potential impact on healthcare delivery. For example: "The study concludes that both barangays share a strong perception of the importance of Baylon’s Quality Patient Nursing Care Theory, highlighting the critical role of quality healthcare and supportive government programs in addressing self-image issues among patients."                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 |                                                                                                                                                                     |
| <b>Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.</b>                                                                                                    | The manuscript is scientifically sound in its methodology and presents relevant findings regarding the perceptions of indigenous ethnic groups on quality patient nursing care. However, improvements in clarity, consistency, flow, and depth of discussion are necessary to enhance its overall quality and ensure it meets the standards of scholarly communication. Addressing these areas will strengthen the manuscript's contribution to the field of healthcare research, particularly concerning indigenous populations.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             |                                                                                                                                                                     |
| <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>                              | while the references in the manuscript provide a foundational understanding of the topic, they could be enhanced by including more recent and relevant studies that specifically address the healthcare experiences of indigenous populations. This would strengthen the manuscript's literature review and provide a more comprehensive context for the research findings.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   |                                                                                                                                                                     |

Review Form 3

|                                                                                       |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               |  |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|
| Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | <p>The language and English quality of the article reveal both strengths and weaknesses that significantly impact its suitability for scholarly communication.</p> <p><b>Strengths</b> include the use of appropriate technical terminology related to nursing care, healthcare delivery, and indigenous studies, which is crucial for engaging in scholarly discourse within these fields. Additionally, the article follows a clear structure, comprising sections such as Abstract, Introduction, Methods, Results, Discussion, and Conclusion, which aids in comprehension and is characteristic of scholarly writing. The inclusion of statistical analyses, such as mean percentages and t-tests, further demonstrates a quantitative approach that is highly valued in academic research.</p> <p>However, there are notable <b>weaknesses</b> that detract from its effectiveness. Some sentences are overly complex or poorly structured, hindering understanding; for instance, the phrase "the factors to be considered if patients will submit to hospitalization or not, is determined by the quality patient health care" could be simplified for clarity. Furthermore, grammatical errors and awkward phrasings are present throughout the text, such as "the study aim to gauge," which should be revised to "the study aims to gauge." Repetitive language also appears, with certain concepts reiterated without adding new insights, leading to redundancy. Inconsistent terminology, such as the interchangeable use of "barangay" and "community," can confuse readers, while abrupt transitions between sections disrupt the overall flow of the narrative.</p> <p>To enhance the article’s quality, several <b>recommendations</b> can be made: first, a thorough editing process focusing on sentence structure, grammar, and clarity is essential to improve readability. Second, ensuring consistent use of terms and definitions throughout the article will help avoid confusion. Third, streamlining content by consolidating similar points will ensure that each section contributes uniquely to the overall argument. Finally, improving transitions between sections will enhance the article's flow, guiding readers more smoothly through the argument.</p> |  |
| <u>Optional/General</u> comments                                                      | <p>The manuscript titled "An Assessment of Indigenous Ethnic Group Perception on Quality Patient Nursing Care Theory in Selected Barangays in Kalinga Province in the Philippines" aims to evaluate the perceptions of indigenous ethnic groups regarding nursing care. While the study addresses a significant issue in healthcare perceptions among indigenous populations, some sentences are fragmented, affecting readability; thus, revisions for clarity are recommended. Additional details on the methodology, including sample size and data collection methods, are necessary for a comprehensive understanding of the research design. Expanding the literature review to include recent studies will strengthen the theoretical framework. The manuscript should also discuss the implications of the findings for nursing practice and healthcare policy, emphasizing their relevance to indigenous populations. Attention to formatting, consistent headings, and thorough proofreading for grammatical accuracy will enhance the manuscript's professionalism and credibility</p>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             |  |

PART 2:

|                                                                                |                    |                                                                                                                                                                                   |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|                                                                                | Reviewer’s comment | Author’s comment (if agreed with the reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?                                   | -                  |                                                                                                                                                                                   |
| Are there competing interest issues in this manuscript?                        | -                  |                                                                                                                                                                                   |
| If plagiarism is suspected, <u>please provide related proofs or web links.</u> | -                  |                                                                                                                                                                                   |

Review Form 3

PART 3: Declaration of Competing Interest of the Reviewer:

Here reviewer should declare his/her competing interest. If nothing to declare he/she can write “I declare that I have no competing interest as a reviewer”

PART 4: Objective Evaluation:

| Guideline                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       | MARKS of this manuscript                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <div>Give OVERALL MARKS you want to give to this manuscript<br/>( Highest: 10 Lowest: 0 )</div> <div><b>Guideline:</b><br/>Accept As It Is: (&gt;9-10)<br/>Minor Revision: (&gt;8-9)<br/>Major Revision: (&gt;7-8)<br/>Serious Major revision: (&gt;5-7)<br/>Rejected (with repairable deficiencies and may be reconsidered): (&gt;3-5)<br/>Strongly rejected (with irreparable deficiencies.): (&gt;0-3)</div> | <div>Considering the strengths and areas for improvement, I would assign the manuscript an overall mark of 6 out of 10. This score reflects a solid foundation in addressing a significant issue while recognizing the need for clarity, detail, and professionalism in its presentation.</div> <div>Minor Revision: (&gt;8-9)</div> |

Review Form 3

Editorial Comments (This section is reserved for the comments from journal editorial office and editors):

|  |                   |
|--|-------------------|
|  | Author's Feedback |
|  |                   |

Reviewer Details:

**This section is mandatory to prepare the Reviewer Certificate.**  
Please complete this section carefully. Reviewer Certificate will be generated by using this information only.  
Your Certificate will be wrong, if you provide incorrect information.  
Please note modification of certificate will not be possible after generation.  
Certificate will not be issued if incomplete information is provided.

|                                                    |                                                                                                               |
|----------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Name of the Reviewer                               | Fauzie Rahman                                                                                                 |
| Department of Reviewer                             | Health Policy Administration Departement                                                                      |
| University or Institution of Reviewer              | Lambung Mangkurat University                                                                                  |
| Country of Reviewer                                | Indonesia                                                                                                     |
| Position: (Professor/lecturer, etc.) of Reviewer   | Associate Professor                                                                                           |
| Email ID of Reviewer                               | Fauzie21@ulm.ac.id                                                                                            |
| WhatsApp Number of Reviewer (Optional)             | +62 8538562534                                                                                                |
| Write 5-8 Keywords regarding expertise of Reviewer | Health Policy Administration<br>Health Promotion<br>Community Health<br>Qualitative Research<br>Health Equity |